1	John E. Bragonje Nevada Bar No. 9519		
2	LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 600		
3			
4	Email: jbragonje@lewisroca.com		
5	Matthew Heerde ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER BARATZ LLP		
6	7 Times Square New York, NY 10036 Tel: (646) 878-0856		
7			
8	Email: mheerde@pearlcohen.com		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
12 13	AMERICAN NUTRITIONAL CORPORATION, INC., a Nevada corporation,	Case No.: 2:22-cv-01286-APG-EJY	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND {PROPOSED} ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE AND TO	
15	VS.		
16	ELSE NUTRITION USA, INC., a Delaware corporation,	STAY DISCOVERY	
17 18	Defendant.		
19	STIPULATION		
20	1 Plaintiff American Nutritional Corporation, Inc. and defendant Else		
21	Nutrition USA, Inc., by and through their respective counsel, hereby respectfully request		
22	pursuant to Local Rule 16-5 that this Court issue an order requiring the parties and their		
23	attorneys to be present at a settlement conference at a time and place to be designated by		
24	the Court for the consideration of the resolution of this action, and such other matters as		
25	may aid in the resolution, settlement, and disposition of the action. Specifically, the		
26	parties represent that this case is appropriate for "a pre-discovery early settlement		
27	conference with a magistrate judge." LR 1-1(b); see also LR IB 1-7 (describing duties of		
28	a magistrate judge to include presiding at confidential settlement conferences).		
	120715156.1		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2 Under 28 U.S.C. §1332, this Court has diversity jurisdiction because this action is between citizens of different states and/or countries and—based on the relief requested—the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. See also JPMorgan Chase Bank Traffic Stream (BVI) Infrastructure Ltd., 536 U.S. 88, 91 (2002) (Section 1332(a)(2) 'provides district courts with original jurisdiction of all civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000 and is between citizens of a state and citizens or subjects of a foreign state.").
- Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business and headquarters (nerve center) located in New Albany, Ohio. For purposes of diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), Defendant is a citizen of Delaware and Ohio. However, the principals of Defendant who have authority to make decisions pertaining to this lawsuit, including to negotiate a potential resolution of this action, reside neither in Delaware nor Ohio. They are citizens of the State of Israel and resident there.
- In addition, the Defendant's lead trial counsel, Matthew Heerde, admitted pro hac vice in this matter, also resides in outside Nevada—in New York County, New York.
- 5 Given the logistical difficulty, the tax on time and resources, the continuing difficulty and increased cost of international travel, and the desire to conserve resources, the parties request that Defendants' principals and lead trial counsel be permitted to appear at the settlement conference through live video transmission, such as Zoom or Microsoft Teams. The parties recognize that this will mean that all counsel and party representatives will need to appear virtually, and not just those who reside outside this jurisdiction. The parties have conducted several informal conferences by this method and are confident that doing so in this context would not prejudice the chances for success in any manner.
- 6 We recognize that this Court "is committed to assisting attorneys and parties in reducing costs in civil cases" and that it "is the obligation of attorneys, as officers of the court, to work toward the prompt completion of each case and to minimize

litigation expense." LR 1-1(B); see also 28	U.S.C. § 473(b)(5) (civil justice expense and	
delay reduction plans adopted by district courts may include requirement that		
representatives "with authority to bind [parties] in settlement discussions" be available		
during settlement conferences). With this e	exhortation from the Court in mind, the parties	
also request that this Court stay the discovery deadlines from now until a period of three		
weeks following the conclusion of the settle	ement conference (in the event that the case	
does not resolve) during which time the parties will propose a new stipulated scheduling		
order to address the remaining discovery to be completed. This will permit the parties to		
redirect time and financial resources that they would otherwise expend in the discovery		
process towards potential settlement.		
IT Is So Stipulated.		
Dated this 30th day of March, 2023.	Dated this 30th day of March, 2023.	
BRIAN K. BERMAN, CHTD.	LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP	
By: /s/ Brian K. Berman Brian K. Berman Nevada Bar No. 56 b.k.berman@att.net 721 Gass Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 382-0702  Attorney for Plaintiff American Nutritional Corporation, Inc.	By: /s/ John E. Bragonje John E. Bragonje Nevada Bar No. 9519 3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996 Tel: (702) 949-8200 Email: jbragonje@lewisroca.com  PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER BARATZ LLP  Matthew Heerde (pro hac vice) Times Square Tower 7 Times Square	
	New York, NY 10036 Tel: (646) 878-0856 Email: mheerde@pearlcohen.com  Attorneys for Defendant Else Nutrition USA, Inc	

## **ORDER**

Good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED. All attendees of the settlement conference will appear via video conference, the details of which the Court will provide in a separate scheduling order.

Dated: March 31, 2023

120715156.1